arXiv:1609.06898v1 [hep-ph] 22 Sep 2016

September 23, 2016

Studies of correlations between measurements of jet observables

Andrii Verbytskyi[1a]

[a]Max-Planck-Institut für Physik

Abstract

We present a method for calculation of statistical correlations between measured jet observables in high energy collisions. The method is compared to sampling based methods used in the past. The case of measurements of jet rates in e^+e^- collisions is considered in detail.

[1] andrii.verbytskyi@mpp.mpg.de

1 Introduction

In high energy particle collisions the partons and hadrons are produced in collimated bunches called *jets*. In a very simplified model a jet can be considered as a parton that was produced in a hard process and went through showering and hadronisation processes. The studies of jet production in the e^+e^-, $e^\pm p$ and pp collisions serve as a strong test of Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD).

Comparisons of the jet production cross sections in e^+e^- collisions to the fixed order or resummed perturbative QCD predictions are used for the extraction of the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ [1–4]. For the ultimate precision of the $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ determination, several measured jet observables are combined. In this case the statistical and systematical correlations between the measurements of jet observables are important. To estimate the statistical correlations between the measured quantities, sampling method was used in the past [2–5]. To obtain sufficiently precise results and to avoid complications related to detector corrections the sampling method was applied to Monte Carlo (MC) simulated events at particle level. This method relies on the underlying MC models, which is a source of potential bias of the obtained results.

In this work we introduce jet observables *classes*. We show how to calculate jet rates and other observables using classes and how to obtain statistical correlations between them in a model-independent way using only data. As an example we consider jet rates in the e^+e^- collisions. We compare the results to those obtained with sampling method.

2 Jet algorithms

A jet clustering algorithm is a way to simplify high energy collision event topology and exhibit the underlying physics at the parton level. The main goal of such a procedure is to reconstruct the kinematic variables of the partons produced during the primary hard interaction. The energy and the momenta of the partons are reconstructed by combining momenta and energy of the charged and neutral particles which are clustered into jets. Several jet algorithms are used to perform the combination in different environments – e^+e^-, pp or $e^\pm p$ collisions. A detailed overview of their properties can be found elsewhere [6, 7].

The number of jets reconstructed a given collision event depends on the applied jet algorithm and used resolution parameters. For the exclusive algorithms widely used in e^+e^- jet studies, a distance measure y_{ij} is defined for a pair of particles i and j. For instance, for the k_T algorithm [8] it is introduced with total visible energy in the event E_{vis}, energies

of particles E_i, E_j and angle between them θ_{ij}

$$y_{ij} = \frac{2min(E_i^2, E_j^2)(1 - \cos\theta_{ij})}{E_{vis}^2}. \quad (1)$$

As long as y_{ij} is smaller than resolution parameter y, the particles i and j are combined by adding their 4-vectors. In this way y defines the number of jets in the event.

To define the number of jets obtained with cone-like algorithms [9, 10] with a minimum energy requirement for a jet, the minimal half opening angle of jet cone $\mathcal{R}$ can be used, e.g. $y = 1 - \cos\mathcal{R}$ [11]. For the inclusive clustering algorithms, often used in $e^{\pm}p$ and pp jet studies, or for the cone-like algorithms with fixed $\mathcal{R}$ parameter, the number of hard jets is defined with a cut on jet (transverse) momentum or jet (transverse) energy [7].

The quantities of interest measured in e^+e^- jet studies [2–5] are $\mathcal{N}$-jet rates and the distribution of transition parameters $D_{i,i+1}$. The $\mathcal{N}$-jet rates are defined as a ratio of production cross section of events with $\mathcal{N}$ jets at some y value to the total hadronic cross section. The transition parameters $D_{i,i+1}$ are defined as values of y for which the event changes from i to $i + 1$ jets.

In the $e^{\pm}p$ jet studies the quantities of interest are the multi-jet double differential cross sections in bins of transverse momentum (energy) of jets and photon virtuality [12, 13]. Similarly, in the pp jet studies the multi-jet differential cross sections are measured in bins of transverse momentum of leading jets [14].

3 Event classes and relation to other jet observables

3.1 Event classes

An application of jet algorithm with a fixed set of resolution parameters $\{y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_n\}$ results in set $C = \{r_1 r_2 \ldots r_n\}$ of natural numbers for each event, where r_i corresponds to number of jets obtained with resolution parameter y_i. We use C to label events in *classes*, which have the same C and therefore the same topology. To limit the number of possible classes, we treat events with number of jets $\mathcal{N} < \mathcal{N}_{min}$ as events with $\mathcal{N}_{min}$ jets and events with number of jets $\mathcal{N} > \mathcal{N}_{max}$ as events with $\mathcal{N}_{max}$ jets. In the general case the total number of classes, K, is [1]

$$K = (\mathcal{N}_{max} - \mathcal{N}_{min} + 1)^n. \quad (2)$$

[1] For each y_i the number of jets can be between $\mathcal{N}_{min}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{max}$. Hence, K is a number of combinations of $\mathcal{N}_{max} - \mathcal{N}_{min} + 1$ distinct values by n with repetitions.

For the exclusive k_T algorithm in e^+e^- collisions, the number of jets rises monotonically with the decreasing resolution parameter y. In this case the number of classes is smaller [2]:

$$K = \frac{(n + \mathcal{N}_{max} - \mathcal{N}_{min})!}{n!(\mathcal{N}_{max} - \mathcal{N}_{min})!}. \tag{3}$$

For this reason it will be used for the illustrative purposes below. An example of the distribution of event classes in e^+e^- collisions simulated with the SHERPA2.2 MC [15] is given in Fig. 1. The exclusive k_T algorithm (see Ref. [16] for implementation details) was



Figure 1: *Fractions of event classes obtained with the exclusive k_T algorithm at particle level from e^+e^- sample simulated with SHERPA2.2 using $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.12$. The vertical error bars show the square roots of the covariance matrix diagonal elements multiplied by 10 for better visibility.*

[2] Let us add y_0 to the set of resolution parameters and set $r_0 = N_{max} - r_1$. With this addition, every $r_i \geq 0$ and their sum is $\mathcal{N}_{max} - \mathcal{N}_{min}$. Hence, K is a number of weak compositions of $\mathcal{N}_{max} - \mathcal{N}_{min}$ by $n + 1$.

used at particle level with the set of resolution parameters $\{0.1000, 0.0215, 0.0046, 0.0010\}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{min} = 2$, $\mathcal{N}_{max} = 4$.

3.2 Relation to jet rates

We denote the fraction of events of a given class with $\kappa_{r_1 r_2 \ldots r_n}$ or $\kappa_{i=1\ldots K}$. The fraction of classes can be related to $\mathcal{N}$-jet rates and transition parameters. The $\mathcal{N}$-jet rates for every y cut, $R_{\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}_{min}\ldots\mathcal{N}_{max}}(y = y_1 y_2 \ldots y_n)$, can be obtained from the fraction of events in classes with

$$R_{\mathcal{N}}(y_i) = \sum_{\{r_i = N\}} \kappa_{1\ldots K}. \tag{4}$$

For instance, for the chosen set of resolution parameters for the exclusive k_T algorithm

$$R_3(y_2) = \kappa_{2333} + \kappa_{3333} + \kappa_{3334} + \kappa_{3344}. \tag{5}$$

In the general case for all jet rates this can be expressed as a linear transformation from fraction of event classes:

$$\overrightarrow{(R_{\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}_{min}\ldots\mathcal{N}_{max}}(y = y_1 y_2 \ldots y_n))} = A_R \overrightarrow{(\kappa_{1\ldots K})}, \tag{6}$$

where the matrix A_R is constructed to sum all the contributions from all classes to the particular $R_{\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}_{min}\ldots\mathcal{N}_{max}}(y = y_1 y_2 \ldots y_n)$. This relation by construct delivers results identical to a simple jet counting. In the particular case of the chosen set of resolution parameters

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_2(y_4) \\ R_2(y_3) \\ R_2(y_2) \\ R_2(y_1) \\ R_3(y_4) \\ R_3(y_3) \\ R_3(y_2) \\ R_3(y_1) \\ R_4(y_4) \\ R_4(y_3) \\ R_4(y_2) \\ R_4(y_1) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \\ 1\,1\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \\ 1\,1\,1\,0\,0\,1\,1\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \\ 1\,1\,1\,1\,0\,1\,1\,1\,0\,1\,1\,0\,1\,0\,0 \\ 0\,1\,1\,1\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \\ 0\,0\,1\,1\,1\,0\,1\,1\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \\ 0\,0\,0\,1\,1\,0\,0\,1\,1\,0\,1\,1\,0\,0\,0 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,1\,0\,1\,0 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,1\,1\,1\,1\,1\,1\,1\,1\,1 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,1\,1\,1\,1\,1 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,1 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{2222} \\ \kappa_{2223} \\ \kappa_{2233} \\ \kappa_{2333} \\ \kappa_{3333} \\ \kappa_{2224} \\ \kappa_{2234} \\ \kappa_{2334} \\ \kappa_{3334} \\ \kappa_{2244} \\ \kappa_{2344} \\ \kappa_{3344} \\ \kappa_{2444} \\ \kappa_{3444} \\ \kappa_{4444} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7}$$

The jet rates obtained with Eq. 7 from the distribution in Fig. 1 are shown in Fig. 2.



Figure 2: *Jet rates obtained from the with the exclusive k_T algorithm at particle level from e^+e^- sample simulated with SHERPA2.2 using $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.12$. The vertical error bars show the square roots of the covariance matrix diagonal elements multiplied by 10 for better visibility.*

3.3 Relation to differential jet rates

The fraction of events with transition parameters in bins $[y_j - y_{j+1}]$ can be obtained similarly to the jet rates:

$$\overrightarrow{(D_{i,i+1}[y_j - y_{j+1}], j < n-1, i = \mathcal{N}_{min} \ldots \mathcal{N}_{max} - 1)} = A_D \overrightarrow{\langle \kappa_{1\ldots K} \rangle}. \tag{8}$$

The differential-jet-multiplicity distributions [17] can be obtained from $D_{i,i+1}[y_j - y_{j+1}]$ distributions with a division by corresponding bin width $y_j - y_{j+1}$. In the particular case

of the chosen set of resolution parameters

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_{23}[y_3 - y_4] \\ D_{23}[y_2 - y_3] \\ D_{23}[y_1 - y_2] \\ D_{34}[y_3 - y_4] \\ D_{34}[y_2 - y_3] \\ D_{34}[y_1 - y_2] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \\ 0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \\ 0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,0\,1\,0\,0\,1\,0\,1\,0\,0 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,1\,1\,1\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,1\,1\,0\,0\,0 \\ 0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,0\,1\,1\,0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \kappa_{2222} \\ \kappa_{2223} \\ \kappa_{2233} \\ \kappa_{2333} \\ \kappa_{3333} \\ \kappa_{2224} \\ \kappa_{2234} \\ \kappa_{2334} \\ \kappa_{3334} \\ \kappa_{2244} \\ \kappa_{2344} \\ \kappa_{3344} \\ \kappa_{2444} \\ \kappa_{3444} \\ \kappa_{4444} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

4 Statistical correlations

4.1 Classes-bases method

As every event is assigned to a class uniquely, the measured numbers of events in every class are statistically independent. The fraction of events in every class follows a multinomial distribution with corresponding covariance matrix

$$V_{ij}^{\kappa} = \kappa_i(\delta_{ij} - \kappa_j). \tag{10}$$

Therefore, covariance matrices for the jet rates V^R and transition parameters V^D can be obtained from the V^{κ} using the matrices from Eq. 7 and Eq. 9:

$$V^R = A_R V^{\kappa} A_R^T,\ V^D = A_D V^{\kappa} A_D^T. \tag{11}$$

4.2 Comparison to sampling method

In many studies of jet production in e^+e^- collisions [2–5] the correlations between measured jet rates were estimated from the sampling of MC simulated events. By choosing large number of events out of the set of all MC simulated events, $N_{\text{subsamples}}$ were built.

Then the jet rates were measured at particle level in every subsample and the covariance matrix was estimated as

$$V^R[i,j] = \frac{\sum_{k=1\ldots N_{\text{subsamples}}}(R_{i,k} - \bar{R}_i)(R_{j,k} - \bar{R}_j)}{N_{\text{subsamples}} - 1}, \tag{12}$$

where k enumerates measurements obtained in the k-th subsample, i and j indexes correspond to Eq. 7 and bar denotes the mean of quantity over the subsamples.

In this paper the procedure was applied to the e^+e^- event samples simulated with the SHERPA2.2 MC program [15] and clustered with the exclusive k_T jet algorithm. In total 1000 with 100 events each were generated. The used steering card is shown in Listing 1. The correlation matrix, $W^R[i,j] = V^R[i,j]/\sqrt{V^R[i,i]V^R[j,j]}$, calculated with the sampling method is given in Tab. 1.

+1.00	+0.38	+0.21	+0.07	-0.23	-0.31	-0.19	-0.07	-0.46	-0.11	-0.05	-0.01
+0.38	+1.00	+0.50	+0.14	+0.23	-0.78	-0.48	-0.14	-0.47	-0.35	-0.10	-0.06
+0.21	+0.50	+1.00	+0.39	+0.20	-0.23	-0.96	-0.39	-0.32	-0.42	-0.19	-0.05
+0.07	+0.14	+0.39	+1.00	+0.10	+0.04	-0.35	-1.00	-0.14	-0.27	-0.16	-0.05
-0.23	+0.23	+0.20	+0.10	+1.00	-0.07	-0.18	-0.10	-0.76	-0.25	-0.07	-0.01
-0.31	-0.78	-0.23	+0.04	-0.07	+1.00	+0.26	-0.04	+0.28	-0.31	-0.08	+0.04
-0.19	-0.48	-0.96	-0.35	-0.18	+0.26	+1.00	+0.35	+0.30	+0.35	-0.10	+0.04
-0.07	-0.14	-0.39	-1.00	-0.10	-0.04	+0.35	+1.00	+0.14	+0.26	+0.16	+0.03
-0.46	-0.47	-0.32	-0.14	-0.76	+0.28	+0.30	+0.14	+1.00	+0.31	+0.10	+0.02
-0.11	-0.35	-0.42	-0.27	-0.25	-0.31	+0.35	+0.26	+0.31	+1.00	+0.28	+0.03
-0.05	-0.10	-0.19	-0.16	-0.07	-0.08	-0.10	+0.16	+0.10	+0.28	+1.00	+0.02
-0.01	-0.06	-0.05	-0.05	-0.01	+0.04	+0.04	+0.03	+0.02	+0.03	+0.02	+1.00

Table 1: *The e^+e^- jet rates correlation matrix $W^R[i,j]$ calculated with sampling method. The sample is simulated with SHERPA2.2 using $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.12$. The rows and columns are ordered according to Eq. 7.*

The confidence intervals of the obtained correlation coefficients $\rho = W^R[i,j]$ can be calculated using Fisher's z-transformation [18]. According to Ref. [18] the variable $z = arctanh(\rho)$ is distributed normally with standard deviation of $1/\sqrt{N_{\text{subsamples}} - 3}$, hereby, for $N_{\text{subsamples}} = 1000$ the 68% confidence intervals are $[-0.022, 0.042]$ for $\rho = 0.010$; $[0.069, 0.131]$ for $\rho = 0.100$; $[0.579, 0.620]$ for $\rho = 0.600$; $[0.894, 0.906]$ for $\rho = 0.900$ and $[0.989, 0.991]$ for $\rho = 0.990$.

The correlation matrix calculated with Eq. 11 from the same sample is given in Tab. 2.

+1.00	+0.38	+0.20	+0.08	-0.25	-0.29	-0.19	-0.08	-0.44	-0.15	-0.05	-0.00
+0.38	+1.00	+0.53	+0.22	+0.28	-0.77	-0.50	-0.22	-0.51	-0.38	-0.12	-0.00
+0.20	+0.53	+1.00	+0.42	+0.24	-0.23	-0.96	-0.42	-0.36	-0.45	-0.23	-0.01
+0.08	+0.22	+0.42	+1.00	+0.12	-0.04	-0.38	-1.00	-0.17	-0.27	-0.19	-0.02
-0.25	+0.28	+0.24	+0.12	+1.00	-0.11	-0.22	-0.12	-0.76	-0.25	-0.08	-0.00
-0.29	-0.77	-0.23	-0.04	-0.11	+1.00	+0.27	+0.04	+0.30	-0.30	-0.09	-0.00
-0.19	-0.50	-0.96	-0.38	-0.22	+0.27	+1.00	+0.38	+0.33	+0.37	-0.06	-0.00
-0.08	-0.22	-0.42	-1.00	-0.12	+0.04	+0.38	+1.00	+0.17	+0.27	+0.19	-0.00
-0.44	-0.51	-0.36	-0.17	-0.76	+0.30	+0.33	+0.17	+1.00	+0.33	+0.10	+0.00
-0.15	-0.38	-0.45	-0.27	-0.25	-0.30	+0.37	+0.27	+0.33	+1.00	+0.32	+0.01
-0.05	-0.12	-0.23	-0.19	-0.08	-0.09	-0.06	+0.19	+0.10	+0.32	+1.00	+0.04
-0.00	-0.00	-0.01	-0.02	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	+0.00	+0.01	+0.04	+1.00

Table 2: *The e^+e^- jet rates correlation matrix $W^R[i,j]$ calculated with classes-based method. The sample is simulated with SHERPA2.2 using $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.12$. The rows and columns are ordered according to Eq. 7.*

It was found that the classes-based method and the sampling method with large number of samples give very close results. However, the results obtained with sampling method have sizeable uncertainties, especially for the low values of correlation coefficients. This property of the sampling method comes from the ignoring addition information of event independence within the subsamples.

To study the dependence of the obtained results on the MC settings, the calculations from previous sections were repeated with samples generated using $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.09, 0.10, 0.11, 0.12, 0.13, 0.14, 0.15$. It was found that the correlation coefficients obtained with both methods show significant dependence on the value of $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ used in the simulation (see Fig. 3). Therefore, to obtain correlation coefficients consistent with data, sampling of data or classes-based method should be used. While for the former option the precision of the obtained correlation coefficients is limited by number of subsamples [18], the classes-based method does not face this problem. In addition, the sampling of data involves complications with corrections of the measured quantities for detector effects. Contrary to that, the correction for detector effects for classes is straightforward, can be done either "bin-by-bin", or with the unfolding procedures [19,20] and propagated to the final results.



Figure 3: *Dependence of selected elements of correlation matrix W^R calculated with sampling method (W^R_{SA}) and with classes-based method (W^R_{CL}) on $\alpha_s(M_Z)$ used by SHERPA2.2 in simulation. The error bars for W^R_{SA} are the 68% confidence intervals calculated according to Ref. [18].*

5 Summary

A new type of jet observables, classes, was introduced. The classes-based method to calculate correlations between measurements of jet observables was demonstrated. The method provides robust results, does not rely on the MC simulations and has a straightforward, self-consistent procedure for taking into account detector corrections.

Acknowledgements

We thank Stefan Kluth for his major contribution to the development of this paper. We thank Olaf Behnke and Oleksandr Zenaiev for the discussions on the topic and suggestions for the improvements of the manuscript.

Appendix A Example of SHERPA2.2 setup

```
(run){
  NJET:=2;
  MODEL SM;
  ALPHAS(MZ) 0.12;
  ORDER_ALPHAS 2;
  BEAM_1 11; BEAM_ENERGY_1 45.6;
  BEAM_2 -11; BEAM_ENERGY_2 45.6;
}(run)
(isr){
  PDF_LIBRARY None;
}(isr)
(processes){
  Process 11 -11 -> 93 93 93{NJET};
  CKKW pow(10,-2.25);
  Order (*,2);
  End process;
}(processes)
```

Listing 1: *SHERPA2.2 steering card for e^+e^- sample simulated with $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.12$.*

References

[1] G. Dissertori et al., Precise determination of the strong coupling constant at NNLO in QCD from the three-jet rate in electron–positron annihilation at LEP. Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 072002 (2010). arXiv:0910.4283.

[2] JADE Collaboration, J. Schieck et al., Measurement of the strong coupling α_s from the three-jet rate in e^+e^- - annihilation using JADE data. Eur. Phys. J. **C73**, 2332 (2013). arXiv:1205.3714.

[3] OPAL Collaboration, G. Abbiendi et al., Determination of α_s using OPAL hadronic event shapes at $\sqrt{s} = 91 - 209\,\mathrm{GeV}$ and resummed NNLO calculations. Eur. Phys. J. **C71**, 1733 (2011). arXiv:1101.1470.

[4] M.E. Zomorrodian, M. Hasheminia and A. Mirjalili, Measurement of strong coupling α_s in e^+ e^- annihilation using jet rate and event shape. Indian J. Phys. **90**, 469 (2016).

[5] ALEPH Collaboration, A. Heister et al., Studies of QCD at e^+e^- centre-of-mass energies between 91 GeV and 209 GeV. Eur. Phys. J. **C35**, 457 (2004).

[6] A. Ali and G. Kramer, Jets and QCD: a historical review of the discovery of the quark and gluon jets and its impact on QCD. Eur. Phys. J. **H36**, 245 (2011). arXiv:1012.2288.

[7] T. Schörner-Sadenius, Jet physics in electron-proton scattering. Eur. Phys. J. **C72**, 2060 (2012). [Erratum: Eur. Phys. J.C72,2133(2012)].

[8] S. Catani et al., New clustering algorithm for multi-jet cross-sections in e^+e^- annihilation. Phys. Lett. **B269**, 432 (1991).

[9] G.P. Salam and G. Soyez, A practical seedless infrared-safe cone jet algorithm. JHEP **05**, 086 (2007). arXiv:0704.0292.

[10] M. Cacciari, P.G. Salam and G. Soyez, The Anti-k_T jet clustering algorithm. JHEP **04**, 063 (2008). arXiv:0802.1189.

[11] S. Weinzierl, Jet algorithms in electron-positron annihilation: Perturbative higher order predictions. Eur. Phys. J. **C71**, 1565 (2011). arXiv:1011.6247. [Erratum: Eur. Phys. J.C71,1717(2011)].

[12] ZEUS Collaboration, S. Chekanov et al., Multijet production in neutral current deep inelastic scattering at HERA and determination of α_s. Eur. Phys. J. **C44**, 183 (2005). arXiv:hep-ex/0502007.

[13] H1 Collaboration, V. Andreev et al., Measurement of multijet production in ep collisions at high Q^2 and determination of the strong coupling α_s. Eur. Phys. J. **C75**, 65 (2015). arXiv:1406.4709.

[14] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad et al., Measurement of multi-jet cross sections in proton-proton collisions at a 7 TeV center-of-mass energy.
Eur. Phys. J. **C71**, 1763 (2011). arXiv:1107.2092.

[15] T. Gleisberg et al., Event generation with SHERPA 1.1. JHEP **02**, 007 (2009). arXiv:0811.4622.

[16] M. Cacciari, P.G. Salam and G. Soyez, FastJet user manual.
Eur. Phys. J. **C72**, 1896 (2012). arXiv:1111.6097.

[17] S. Komamiya et al., Determination of α_s from a differential jet multiplicity distribution at SLC and PEP. Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 987 (1990).

[18] R.A. Fisher, On the probable error of a coefficient of correlation deduced from a small sample. Metron **1**, 3 (1921).
http://digital.library.adelaide.edu.au/dspace/bitstream/2440/15169/1/14.pdf.

[19] T. Adye, Unfolding algorithms and tests using RooUnfold, Proceedings of PHYSTAT 2011 Workshop, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland 17-20 January 2011, pp. 313–318. CERN, Geneva, CERN (2011). Also in preprint 1105.1160, doi:10.5170/CERN-2011-006.313. arXiv:1105.1160.

[20] S. Schmitt, TUnfold: an algorithm for correcting migration effects in high energy physics. JINST **7**, T10003 (2012). arXiv:1205.6201.